

Problem Statement

Report Number	RCA-28-07-17-114	RCA Owner	Chris Eckert
Report Date	7/28/2017	RCA Facilitator	Brian Hughes

Focal Point: AA Flight 191 Crashed - 273 Fatalities

When

Start Date: 5/25/1979	End Date: 5/25/1979
Start Time: 3:02 PM	End Time: 3:02 PM
Unique Timing	After losing hydraulic systems when engine #1 detached during takeoff.

Where

	Hydraulic Systems 1 & 3, #1 Electrical Bus (damaged by engine detachment)
	Engine #1 (detached from airplane)
Other	DC 10 - N110AA
Other	American Airlines

Actual Impact

Safety	273 Fatalities (258 passengers, 13 crew, 2 on ground)	\$0.00
Cost	Loss of aircraft, damage to buildings on ground	\$0.00
Reputation (External)	Extensive coverage and previous DC 10 incidents caused loss of confidence in this class of aircraft	\$0.00
Frequency	1 times Overall	
Frequency Note	This is the only crash of a DC 10 from this type of failure.	

Report Summaries

Executive Summary

READ THIS FIRST!

We need to disclose that this EXAMPLE RCA is based upon publicly available information from the NTSB report on the matter and not from any independent investigation conducted by Sologic. Sologic has not investigated this incident in any official capacity, and we do not want to imply that we were in any way associated with this event. The only purpose of this root cause analysis report is for it to be used as an example for our students and other interested parties.

Problem Setup:

With fatality investigations, or any safety investigation for that matter, we think it is important to include the number and severity of injuries sustained in the focal point. This ensures that we prioritize the RCA to focus on the impact to the people involved.

You will see that we have three immediate causes leading up to the focal point: 1) "Plane struck the ground" – the physical mechanism for the break-up of the plane, 2) "271 on board (258 passengers, 13 crew members, 0 survivors)" – an accounting of those on board the plane, and 3) "2 fatalities on the ground in path of falling debris" – an accounting of the fatalities on the ground.

Cause and Effect Summary

On May 25, 1979 at approximately 3:02 PM, American Airlines flight 191 crashed, killing all 271 people on board and 2 on the ground. The aircraft was a DC 10, tail number N110AA. This was a regularly scheduled flight.

As the plane accelerated during its take-off roll, the left engine (engine #1) ripped free of its mount under the wing. The engine was at full thrust at the time. This pushed the engine forward of the wing where the wind velocity then caught the engine and flipped it back up and over the left wing, where it landed in the runway. The pilots were aware that engine #1 was no longer available, but not that it was no longer attached to the aircraft. Since they had achieved take-off speed, they could no longer safely abort. Procedure called for them to continue to take-off, and then circle back to the airport to land. The plane rotated back, and then took flight.

However, when the engine ripped free from the wing, it damaged two of the three hydraulic systems as well as the #1 electric bus. These hydraulic systems controlled the leading edge slats on the left wing. Leading edge slats extend the leading edge (front) of the wing forward during times when the aircraft is flying slower, such as during take-off and landing. By extending forward, they (along with the rear flaps) effectively increase the size of the wing. This reduces what is known as the "stall speed" – the speed at which lift is no longer provided.

During take-off, the leading edge slats of both wings were fully extended to provide maximum lift. However, with the loss of hydraulic pressure, there was nothing holding the left slats in place. The wind generated by forward motion pushed the slats back up into the wing. This increased the stall speed of the left wing. However, the right wing operated normally with slats fully extended. This caused an imbalance in lift between the wings, which then caused the left side to dip.

The pilots were unaware of the flap position or that the aircraft was traveling below stall speed. This was in part because the pilot's stick-shaker stall indicator and flap position indicators were unavailable. These components were powered by the #1 bus, which went out with the loss of the #1 engine. The co-pilot was in control of the aircraft, but his controls did not include the stick-shaker stall warning – an add-on feature that American Airlines chose to forgo.

The imbalance in lift ultimately caused the plane to achieve a 112-degree angle. This was simply unsustainable, and the plane crashed 50 seconds after takeoff.

The engine ripped free when the mounting hardware failed. This hardware had been damaged 8 weeks prior during engine maintenance and subsequent forces of multiple take-offs and landings degraded it to the point of failure. The damage occurred when the engine was being removed for maintenance. The engine is attached to a pylon, which is attached to the wing structure. McDonnell Douglas service manuals state that the engine and pylon need to be removed in separate steps. However, airlines operating DC10s had discovered that they could be removed together. This saved many steps and work hours. However, doing so meant that both engine and pylon required stabilization during the process.

American Airlines chose to use a large forklift to stabilize the engine and pylon during removal. However, this method was imprecise. The forklift driver could not actually see the mounting area and had to rely on hand signals from a spotter. During this particular maintenance operation, the pylon had become jammed which led the maintenance crew to move the engine back and forth. This caused damage to the rear mounting hardware. However, this damage was not noticed at the time. The work was completed, the engine and pylon were reinstalled, and the airplane was returned to service. The subsequent forces of multiple take-offs, flights, and landings ultimately led to the catastrophic failure of the mounting hardware.

--END OF SUMMARY--

Solutions

SO-0001	Solution	Immediately discontinue the practice of raising/lowering engines with the pylon still attached.	
	Cause(s)	American Airlines procedure to remove both engine/ pylon	
	Note	It was much safer to the equipment to remove the engine, and then the pylon.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term	short	Cost
SO-0002	Solution	Modifications were made to the slat actuation and position systems, along with stall warning and power supply changes.	
	Cause(s)	Pilots did not increase speed - unaware flaps had retracted	
	Note	These changes help provide the pilots with more accurate information regarding slat position.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term	short	Cost
SO-0003	Solution	Make adjustments to recommended take-off/climb airspeed schedules with respect to stall speeds.	
	Cause(s)	Pilots did not increase speed - unaware flaps had retracted	
	Note	No additional notes.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term		Cost
SO-0004	Solution	Slat relief valves were mandated to prevent slat retraction in case of hydraulic line damage.	
	Cause(s)	Left flaps retracted - smaller surface area = higher stall speed	
	Note	These valves ensure that in case of loss of hydraulic fluid that the flaps fail to deployed position, thereby minimizing stall speed.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term		Cost

SO-0005	Solution	Inspect all other DC10s for similar fatigue/damage	
	Cause(s)	#1 rear pylon mount damaged	
	Note	Inspections were conducted for all other DC10s - several were found to be damaged.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term	medium	Cost

SO-0006	Solution	Stick shakers for both pilots became mandatory in response to this accident.	
	Cause(s)	Co-pilot's stick not equipped with stall warning/stick shaker	
	Note	As a result of this accident, stick shaker stall warnings became required for both the pilot and co-pilot.	
	Assigned		Criteria Passed
	Due		Status Validated
	Term	short	Cost

Team

Facilitator

Brian Hughes

brian.hughes@sologic.com

Owner

Chris Eckert

chris.eckert@sologic.com

Participants

Cory Boisoineau

cory.boisoineau@sologic.com

www.sologic.com

